Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Committee Minutes

Date: June 4, 2021 | Begin: 9:30–11:00 a.m. | Location: Zoom | Recorder: Greer Gaston

Attendees: Beau Gilbert, Caleb Feldman, Casey Layton, Dasha Kolpakov, Felicia Arce, Ivan Acosta, Jaime Clarke, John Ginsburg, Junko Iijima, Kandie Starr, Kim Crane, Klaudia Cuevas, Lindsey Pierce, Melissa Richardson, Ray Atkinson, Stephanie Schaefer, Tim Cook, Greer Gaston

Individual commitments are highlighted in yellow. Other outstanding work/tasks are highlighted in blue.

	Topic/Item	Key Points	Category
1.	Welcome & Review of Guidelines for Interaction	 Provide 50 words or less on expected outcome Land Acknowledgement Labor Acknowledgement Review Guidelines for Interaction Stephanie read these with the committee. 	 □ Discussion □ Decision □ Advocacy ⊠ Information
2.	Meeting Minutes – Review & Vote	 Review meeting minutes Vote on minutes There were no minutes ready for consideration. 	 □ Discussion ⊠ Decision □ Advocacy ⊠ Information
	Non-agenda Item	Tim Cook asked the committee members for their perspective on how the past year had gone. Committee members noted there had been challenges, like the Trump rally and issue with the board member, but there was also amazing work done and progress made. Committee members expressed gratitude for what has been accomplished and excitement about the future of this work.	
3.	Planning for Summer & Next Year	 Meetings summer term The committee doesn't typically meet during summer term. There are five committee members who are off-contract during the summer. Melissa will verify that part-time faculty who are off-contract would be compensated for summer work; she will obtain David Plotkin's approval. It's helpful to have some dates identified. Committee consensus was to schedule two tentative meetings, one in July and one in August, in the event summer meetings are needed. The meeting will be cancelled by 5 p.m. the day before the meeting if there are no pressing agenda items. 	 ☑ Discussion ☑ Decision □ Advocacy □ Information

	 Greer will send a Doodle poll to identify meeting dates that work for most committee members. 	
	Availability for Framework Trainings	
	 Jaime, Caleb, Kandie, Melissa and Klaudia volunteered to conduct interim Equitable Decision- making Framework trainings over the summer. Since some folks are not available in summer, new training teams may be formed. 	
	Possible retreat summer term	
	 Stephanie proposed combining a summer meeting with a retreat. Committee members liked the idea of a summer retreat to work on team building and to introduce new members to the group. New committee members should be selected early next week, following a meeting with Tim on Monday. There were more applicants than ever before; this is a sign the college community is becoming more engaged in DEI work. Stephanie asked the group to send her suggestions for retreat activities. Melissa said the committee needed to flexible in terms of the retreat being in-person or virtual. If in-person is an option, Stephanie suggested an anonymous poll could be conducted to see if committee members were comfortable meeting in-person. Greer will send a Doodle poll to identify a two- to four-hour date/time for a retreat/meeting. 	
	 The committee typically meets the week of in-service. One year, this kick-off meeting has included subcommittee members. Because new members will be attending, the committee discussed extending the meeting time beyond the typical 90 minutes. Stephanie suggested this could be a combined meeting with subcommittee members, or committee members could meet by themselves first and bring in subcommittee members later in the meeting. Committee consensus was to meet on the Thursday morning the week on in-service. First by themselves and then bringing in subcommittee members later in the meeting. Planning for this meeting can take place at the July or August meeting. Committee members should be on the lookout for emails, in case additional pre-meeting work is needed. 	
4. Collaborative Planning Data	Overview of collaborative planning data project and findings At end of last year, this group was formed by Jason Kovac and Lisa Anh Nguyen to collaborate on the data needed for the "Biggies" – namely DEI, strategic enrollment management, guided pathways, and	

metrics. Lindsey was the initial DEI presentative, followed by John. The metrics examined: 1. Credit completion a. Zero credits within first term 9+ credits within first term b. c. 36+ credits within first year 2. Retention a. Fall to winter b. Fall to fall 3. English progression (completion within first year) 4. Math progression (completion within first year) 5. Degree and/or certificate completion a. Within two years b. Within three years The student characteristics that data points were examined by: 1. Race/ethnicity 2. Gender 3. Pell status 4. Disability 5. First-generation John reported: The group knew the findings would be imperfect because the college's data gathering fell short. Some of the demographic information students were asked to provide was optional, so there are unknowns. • The data was not gender inclusive; a binary methodology was used. This data represents a "start." Institutional Research is seeking to become more inclusive in its data collection and improve its demographics by making student information required instead of optional. John, Jaime, and Lindsey will meet with Jason and Lauren McGuire to ensure the college has the data it needs going forward. Based on three-year span from 2017 to 2019, John shared infographics showing the following groups were underrepresented in one or more of the educational outcomes examined. • Black/African Americans American/Alaskan Natives • Hispanic/Latinos Multiple Races/Non-Hispanics

college-wide strategic planning. Those working on these initiatives were looking for indicators and

		1
	 Females First-in-family/first-generation college students Students with a disability Those who did not receive PELL The infographics are on file in the DEI Committee record and depict the demographic groups in a particular metric that were underrepresented – by percentage of population – in achievement in two or more of the three years. This helps identify equity gaps. John will verify that those who did not receive PELL were eligible. The data provided was intended to be informational. 	
	 Committee members commented: The data can be used as a baseline to assess whether various initiatives help close equity gaps and lead to greater success for students. The data ties in with the DEI framework in terms of encouraging people to make data-driven decisions. The data illustrates that certain populations have been left out of the college's decision-making. Students and employees often <i>opt out</i> when it comes to providing data. While the college doesn't want to force folks to provide information they don't want to share, this makes it difficult to obtain disaggregated data. The lack of data makes it challenging to improve recruitment and retention for students and employees of color. The <i>We All Count</i> website offers a <i>Seven Step Framework</i> related to data equity: https://weallcount.com/the-data-process/ A committee member asked what is the reporting cycle and when changes can be made to elements in the report? 	
	Jaime and John. They will advocate on behalf of the committee.	
5. Charter Review	 Review of comments/edits made on Google Doc Vote on updated Charter Stephanie acknowledged there was not enough time review the entire charter; she asked if there were some edits that could be tackled easily. Stephanie displayed updated Guidelines for Interaction developed from previous discussions. Committee members made a few minor grammatical revisions. The revised Guidelines for Interaction are as follows: 	 ☑ Discussion ☑ Decision ☑ Advocacy ☑ Information
	1. Create a brave learning space	

	Acknowledge harm and impact of your behavior/comments
3.	Discomfort is welcome
4.	Respect each other's perspectives
	If you experience yourself making judgments, ask yourself where those feelings came from
6.	Listen with the intent to hear and understand, rather than to respond
7.	Stay engaged
8.	Consider your privilege
9.	Step up, step back
10	. Stories told stay here, knowledge leaves
11	. Speak your truthshare your experiences, use "I" statements
12	. Expect and accept non-closure
13	. Center the experience of systemically non-dominant people in this space
	was a motion by Kim, which was seconded by Kandie, to approve the adjustments to the ines for Interaction in the Charter and for committee use. The committee approved the n.
Stepha	nie summarized other feedback noted on a Google document:
	There was a request from a committee member to have fewer agenda items and to send out a
	request for agenda items in advance.
-	There was a suggestion to incorporate an anti-racist stance in the scope, or possibly the
	purpose. Stephanie proposed having some volunteers work on the placement and exact
	pulpose. Stephanie proposed having some volunteers work on the placement and exact
	language over the summer. In the scope, there was a notation that item 4 is very similar to item 3. The group
•	language over the summer.
There	language over the summer. In the scope, there was a notation that item 4 is very similar to item 3. The group
There	language over the summer. In the scope, there was a notation that item 4 is very similar to item 3. The group acknowledged its role was to make recommendations which meant item 3 could be removed. was a motion by Lindsey, which was seconded by John, to remove item 3. The committee yed the motion.
There	language over the summer. In the scope, there was a notation that item 4 is very similar to item 3. The group acknowledged its role was to make recommendations which meant item 3 could be removed. was a motion by Lindsey, which was seconded by John, to remove item 3. The committee
There	language over the summer.In the scope, there was a notation that item 4 is very similar to item 3. The groupacknowledged its role was to make recommendations which meant item 3 could be removed.was a motion by Lindsey, which was seconded by John, to remove item 3. The committeeved the motion.A committee member proposed revising item 4 as follows:
There	 language over the summer. In the scope, there was a notation that item 4 is very similar to item 3. The group acknowledged its role was to make recommendations which meant item 3 could be removed. was a motion by Lindsey, which was seconded by John, to remove item 3. The committee ved the motion. A committee member proposed revising item 4 as follows: <i>A commendations to institutional policies and procedures to address diversity,</i>
There approv	 Ianguage over the summer. In the scope, there was a notation that item 4 is very similar to item 3. The group acknowledged its role was to make recommendations which meant item 3 could be removed. was a motion by Lindsey, which was seconded by John, to remove item 3. The committee ved the motion. A committee member proposed revising item 4 as follows: <i>Making recommendations to institutional policies and procedures to address diversity, equity, and inclusion issues</i> Based on feedback from a previous meeting, Stephanie proposed language revisions to item 12 as follows:
There approv	 Ianguage over the summer. In the scope, there was a notation that item 4 is very similar to item 3. The group acknowledged its role was to make recommendations which meant item 3 could be removed. was a motion by Lindsey, which was seconded by John, to remove item 3. The committee yed the motion. A committee member proposed revising item 4 as follows: <i>Making recommendations to institutional policies and procedures to address diversity, equity, and inclusion issues</i> Based on feedback from a previous meeting, Stephanie proposed language revisions to item 12 as follows: <i>Providing Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Framework training and guidance and ensuring</i>
There approv	 In the scope, there was a notation that item 4 is very similar to item 3. The group acknowledged its role was to make recommendations which meant item 3 could be removed. was a motion by Lindsey, which was seconded by John, to remove item 3. The committee ved the motion. A committee member proposed revising item 4 as follows: Making recommendations to institutional policies and procedures to address diversity, equity, and inclusion issues Based on feedback from a previous meeting, Stephanie proposed language revisions to item 12 as follows: Providing Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Framework training and guidance and ensuring the application of the DEI Framework to decision-making at CCC
There v approv	 Ianguage over the summer. In the scope, there was a notation that item 4 is very similar to item 3. The group acknowledged its role was to make recommendations which meant item 3 could be removed. was a motion by Lindsey, which was seconded by John, to remove item 3. The committee yed the motion. A committee member proposed revising item 4 as follows: <i>Making recommendations to institutional policies and procedures to address diversity, equity, and inclusion issues</i> Based on feedback from a previous meeting, Stephanie proposed language revisions to item 12 as follows: <i>Providing Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Framework training and guidance and ensuring</i>
There v approv	 Janguage over the summer. In the scope, there was a notation that item 4 is very similar to item 3. The group acknowledged its role was to make recommendations which meant item 3 could be removed. was a motion by Lindsey, which was seconded by John, to remove item 3. The committee red the motion. A committee member proposed revising item 4 as follows: Making recommendations to institutional policies and procedures to address diversity, equity, and inclusion issues Based on feedback from a previous meeting, Stephanie proposed language revisions to item 12 as follows: Providing Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Framework training and guidance and ensuring the application of the DEI Framework to decision-making at CCC

6. Subcommittee • Employee Resource Groups
 was unclear whether the order was tied to importance. A bulleted list was substituted for numbers, and the committee will revisit this later. Stephanie proposed a work group be formed to determine where the anti-racist wording goes. Stephanie asked if anyone recalled what <i>the above statement</i> was referencing? Several committee members said it predated the committee and referred to something that was no longer in the document—namely feedback on the mission or the diversity committee. In lieu of <i>the following groups</i>, Stephanie suggested changing that to <i>the college community</i>. No one expressed concern over this change. Stephanie noted it may be redundant with the next sentence.